

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/998,880	GREENHALGH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David M. Naff	1651	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amendment after Final

(1) David M. Naff.

(3) _____.

(2) John A. Chionchio.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 July 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

18 & 19

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Changed dependency of claim 18 to claim 3 so that claims 18 and 19 are not duplicates of claims 5 and 6, respectively. Also, amended specification at page 9, line 8, to change "follows" to -- follow --.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)